



OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY

Closed Case Summary

Complaint Number OPA#2015-0372

Issued Date: 10/06/2015

Named Employee #1	
Allegation #1	<u>Seattle Police Department Manual</u> 5.001 (9) Professionalism (Policy that was issued 07/16/14)
OPA Finding	Not Sustained (Unfounded)
Allegation #2	<u>Seattle Police Department Manual</u> 5.001 (2) Employees Must Adhere to Laws and Department Policies (Policy that was issued 07/16/14)
OPA Finding	Not Sustained (Unfounded)
Final Discipline	N/A

INCIDENT SYNOPSIS

Officers were dispatched to a disturbance call at a restaurant inside of a hotel. A female subject, the complainant, had thrown water glasses at customers when she was asked to leave the bar and then entered the restroom. Prior to arriving on the scene, one of the officers spoke to a restaurant employee and learned that the complainant had already caused property damage at the restaurant. The officers and hotel security entered the restroom and officers placed handcuffs on the subject without a struggle. As the officers walked her out of the hotel the complainant yelled several times for the named employee to stop touching her breast. The supervising sergeant screened the arrest at the scene and took the complainant's statement.

COMPLAINT

The complainant alleged that the named employee inappropriately touched her breast.

INVESTIGATION

The OPA investigation included the following actions:

1. Review of complaint memo
2. Interview of the complainant
3. Interview of witnesses
4. Review of hotel security video
5. Review of In-Car video

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

Due to the quantity and quality of already-available information gathered at the scene by the supervising sergeant, significant additional investigation was not needed to be conducted by OPA. The evidence showed that the named employee had not inappropriately touched the complainant as alleged.

FINDINGS

Named Employee #1

Allegation #1

The evidence showed that the named employee was professional in his actions. Therefore a finding of **Not Sustained** (Unfounded) was issued for *Professionalism*.

Allegation #2

The evidence showed that the named employee followed department policies during the investigation and arrest of the complainant. Therefore a finding of **Not Sustained** (Unfounded) was issued for *Employees Must Adhere to Laws and Department Policies*.

NOTE: The Seattle Police Department Manual policies cited for the allegation(s) made for this OPA Investigation are policies that were in effect during the time of the incident. The issued date of the policy is listed.