

NONE of the Commission drawn maps are tenable. Map 1 is gerrymandered AF and the jagged boundaries make zero sense geographically or by neighborhood.

Map 2, 3, & 4 violate the Seattle Racial Equity Toolkit by creating maps that segregate high-renter areas into smaller districts, which will give richer, whiter single-family homeowners an outsized say in city politics. For all 3 of these maps, it makes ZERO sense to break up downtown. It's unequitable to put both Country Clubs into 1 district and exclude higher renter areas.

None of these maps are acceptable because they are inequitable.

I've created 3 proposals, each of which creates a more equitable division of districts than any of the Commission maps.

#1 - <https://davesredistricting.org/maps#viewmap::ebc1eba7-4cbe-47a4-a98a-c4a5c215a5a3>

#2 - <https://davesredistricting.org/maps#viewmap::4d5800a2-cc90-4c80-8fef-beb5b2f4f550>

#3 - <https://davesredistricting.org/maps#viewmap::74a7b05f-7d43-446b-a7b0-b64de15e5458>

All four commission maps fail an equity test by creating districts that split up renter populations, thus diluting the influence of voters who tend to be lower income, younger, and less white.

My proposed map keeps districts closer to what they are today. It keeps neighborhoods together better. It follows natural dividing lines of I-5, Aurora Ave, major arterials, waterways. It balances higher & lower density areas. It doesn't create weird gerrymandering lines.

<https://davesredistricting.org/join/05a3d768-4c46-4bf1-a979-7e58e34828c1>